

## CHAPTER 100 BASIC DESIGN POLICIES

### Topic 101 - Design Speed

#### Index 101.1 - Selection of Design Speed

Design speed is defined as: "a speed selected to establish specific minimum geometric design elements for a particular section of highway". These design elements include vertical and horizontal alignment, and sight distance. Other features such as widths of pavement and shoulders, horizontal clearances, etc., are generally not directly related to design speed.

The choice of design speed is influenced principally by the character of terrain, economic considerations, environmental factors, type and anticipated volume of traffic, functional classification of the highway, and whether the area is rural or urban. A highway in level or rolling terrain justifies a higher design speed than one in mountainous terrain. Where a difficult location is obvious to approaching drivers, they are more apt to accept a lower design speed than where there is no apparent reason for it. As discussed under Topic 109, scenic values are also a consideration in the selection of a design speed.

A highway carrying a large volume of traffic may justify a higher design speed than a less important facility in similar topography, particularly where the savings in vehicle operation and other costs are sufficient to offset the increased cost of right of way and construction. A lower design speed, however, should not be assumed for a secondary road where the topography is such that drivers are likely to travel at high speeds.

Subject to the above considerations, as high a design speed as feasible should be used. It is preferable that the design speed for any section of highway be a constant value. However, during the detailed design phase of a project,

special situations may arise in which engineering, economic, environmental, or other considerations make it impractical to provide the minimum elements established by the design speed. The most likely examples are partial or brief horizontal sight distance restrictions, such as those imposed by bridge rails, bridge columns, retaining walls, sound walls, cut slopes, and median barriers.

The cost to correct such restrictions may not be justified. Technically, this will result in a reduction in the effective design speed at the location in question. **Such technical reductions in design speed shall be discussed with and documented as required by the Project Development Coordinator.**

**Local streets or roads within the State right of way shall have minimum design speeds conforming to AASHTO standards, as per the functional classification of the facility in question.** If the local agency having jurisdiction over the facility in question maintains design standards that exceed AASHTO standards, then the local agency standards should apply.

**Where the local facility connects to a freeway or expressway (such as ramp terminal intersections), the design speed of the local facility shall be a minimum of 55 km/h. However, the design speed should be 75 km/h when feasible.**

Every effort should be made to avoid decreasing the design speed of a local facility through the State's right of way, and all due consideration should be given to local plans to upgrade or improve the facility in the near future.

#### 101.2 Design Speed Standards

**The following table shows appropriate ranges of design speeds that shall be used for various conditions:**

Table 101.2

### Relation of Conditions to Design Speed

Conditions	Design Speed (km/h)
<b>LIMITED ACCESS TYPES</b>	
Freeways and expressways in mountainous terrain	80-130
Freeways in urban areas	90-130
Freeways and expressways in rural areas	110-130
Expressways in urban areas	80-110
<b>CONVENTIONAL HIGHWAYS</b>	
Rural	
Flat terrain	90-110
Rolling terrain	80-100
Mountainous terrain	60-80
Urban	
Arterial streets	60-100
Arterial streets with extensive development	50-70
<b>LOCAL FACILITIES</b> (Within State right of way)	
Facilities crossing a freeway or expressway, connecting to a conventional highway or traversing a State facility	AASHTO (1)
Facilities connecting to a freeway or expressway	55 <sup>M</sup> /75 <sup>A</sup>
	M=Mandatory/ A=Advisory

(1) If outside of State right of way and no specific local standards apply, the minimum design speed shall be 50 km/h.

## Topic 102 - Highway Capacity

### 102.1 Design Capacities

Design capacity is the maximum volume of traffic for which a projected highway can provide a selected level of service. Design capacity varies with a number of factors, including:

- Level of service selected.
- Width of lanes.
- Number of lanes.
- Presence or absence of shoulders.
- Grades.
- Horizontal alignment.
- Operating speed.
- Lateral clearance.
- Side friction generated by parking, drive ways, intersections, and interchanges.
- Volumes of trucks, buses, recreational vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians.
- Spacing and timing of traffic signals.

Freeways should be designed to accommodate the design year traffic and to operate at a specified level of service. For a rough approximation of the number of lanes required on a multilane freeway, use the following design year peak hour traffic volumes at the specified level of service:

	Level of Service	Traffic Volume (Average Vehicles Per Lane Per Hour)
Urban	C-E	1400-2000
Rural	C-D	1000-1200

Traffic volumes can be adjusted for the effect of grades and the mix of autos, trucks, and recreational vehicles if a more refined calculation is desired. In those cases, consult the "Highway Capacity Manual", 1994 (see reference below).

## 102.2 References

More detailed data on design capacity are available in the "Highway Capacity Manual", published by the Transportation Research Board in 1994.

## Topic 103 - Design Designation

### 103.1 Relation to Design

The design designation is a simple, concise expression of the basic factors controlling the design of a given highway. Following is an example of this expression:

$$\text{ADT (2000)} = 9800 \quad D = 60\%$$

$$\text{ADT (2020)} = 20\,000 \quad T = 12\%$$

$$\text{DHV} = 3000 \quad V = 110 \text{ km/h}$$

The notation above is explained as follows:

ADT (2000) -- The average daily traffic, in number of vehicles, for the construction year.

ADT (2020) -- The average daily traffic for the future year used as a target in design.

DHV -- The two-way design hourly volume, vehicles.

D -- The percentage of the DHV in the direction of heavier flow.

T -- The character of the traffic. This is expressed by the truck increment (T) as a percent of the DHV (excluding recreational vehicles).

V -- Design speed in km/h.

Within a project, one design designation should be used except when:

- (a) The design hourly traffic warrants a change in the number of lanes, or
- (b) A decided change in topography dictates a change in design speed.

The design designation should be given in the Project Report and should appear on the typical cross section for all new highway construction projects.

## 103.2 Design Period

Geometric design of new facilities should normally be based on estimated traffic 20 years after completion of construction. With justification, design periods other than 20 years may be approved by the District Director with concurrence by the Headquarters Project Development Coordinator.

Safety, Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (RRR), and operational improvement projects should be designed on the basis of current ADT.

For structural section design period, see Index 603.2.

## Topic 104 - Control of Access

### 104.1 General Policy

Control of access is achieved by acquiring rights of access to the highway from abutting property owners and by permitting ingress and egress only at locations determined by the State.

On freeways, direct access from private property to the highway is prohibited without exception. Abutting ownerships are served by frontage roads or streets connected to interchanges.

### 104.2 Access Openings

The number of access openings on highways with access control should be held to a minimum. (Private property access openings on freeways are not allowed.) Parcels which have access to another public road or street as well as frontage on the expressway are not allowed access to the expressway. In some instances, parcels fronting only on the expressway may be given access to another public road or street by constructing suitable connections if such access can be provided at reasonable cost.

With the exception of extensive highway frontages, access openings to an expressway are limited to one opening per parcel. Wherever possible, one opening should serve two or more parcels. In the case of a large highway frontage under one ownership, the cost of limiting access to one opening may be prohibitive, or the property may be divided by a natural barrier such as a stream or ridge, making it necessary to

provide an additional opening. In the latter case, it may be preferable to connect the physically separated portions with a low-cost structure or road rather than permit two openings.

### 104.3 Frontage Roads

#### (1) General Policy.

(a) Purpose--Frontage roads are provided on freeways and expressways:

- To control access to the through lanes, thus increasing safety for traffic.
- To provide access to abutting land ownerships.
- Restore continuity of the local street or road systems.
- Provide for nonmotorized traffic that might otherwise desire to use the freeway.
- Provide continuity even though it did not exist before when unreasonable circuitry of travel would be incurred due to freeway construction without a frontage road.

(b) Economic Considerations--In general, a frontage road is justified on freeways and expressways if the costs of constructing the frontage road are less than the costs of providing access by other means. Right of way considerations often are a determining factor. Thus, a frontage road would be justified if the investment in construction and extra right of way is less than either the severance damages or the costs of acquiring the affected property in its entirety. Frontage roads may be required to connect parts of a severed property or to serve a landlocked parcel resulting from right of way acquisition.

(c) Access Openings--Direct access to the through lanes is allowable on expressways. When the number of access openings on one side of the expressway exceeds three in 500 m, a

frontage road should be provided (see Index 104.2).

(2) *New Alignment.* Frontage roads generally are not provided on freeways or expressways on new alignment since the abutting property owners never had legal right of access to the new facility. They may be provided, however, on the basis of considerations mentioned in (1) above.

(3) *Existing Alignment.* Where a freeway or expressway is developed parallel to an existing highway or local street, all or part of the existing roadway often is retained as a frontage road. In such cases, if access to remainders of land on the side of the freeway or expressway right of way opposite the old road cannot be provided by other means, a frontage road must be constructed to serve the landlocked remainders or the remainders must be purchased outright. The decision whether to provide access or purchase should be based on considerations of cost, right of way impacts, street system continuity and similar factors (see (1) above).

(4) *Railroad Crossings.* Frontage roads on one or both sides of a freeway or expressway on new alignment, owing to safety and cost considerations, frequently are terminated at the railroad right of way.

Any new railroad grade crossings and grade separations, and any relocations or alterations of existing crossings must be cleared with the railroad and approved by the PUC.

(5) *Frontage Roads Financed by Others.* Frontage roads which are not a State responsibility under this policy may be built by the State upon request of a local political subdivision, a private agency, or an individual. Such a project must be covered by an agreement under which the State is reimbursed for all construction, right of way, and engineering costs involved.

### 104.4 Protection of Access Rights

**For proper control of acquired access rights, fencing or other approved barriers shall be installed on all controlled access highways except as provided in Index 701.2(3)(e).**

### 104.5 Relation of Access Opening to a Median Opening

Access openings should not be placed within 100 m of a median opening unless the access opening is directly opposite the median opening.

Details on access openings are given under Index 205.1.

### 104.6 Cross References

- (a) Access Control at Intersections at Grade (see Index 405.6).
- (b) Access Control at Interchanges (see Index 504.8).

## Topic 105 - Pedestrian Facilities

### 105.1 Sidewalks

The State may assume financial responsibility for the construction of sidewalks under the conditions described below. (See the Project Development Procedures Manual for further discussion of State's responsibility in providing pedestrian facilities.)

- (1) *Replacement in Kind.* Where existing sidewalks are to be disturbed by highway construction, the replacement applies only to the frontages involved and no other sidewalk construction, such as closing existing gaps in sidewalks, is authorized except:
  - (a) As part of a right of way agreement.
  - (b) Where the traffic safety or capacity of the highway will be improved.
- (2) *Conventional Highways.* The roadway cross section usually provides areas for sidewalks. The city, county, or property owner whose adjacent development generated the pedestrian traffic may build sidewalks on State right of way under a permit. If the traffic safety or capacity of the highway will be improved, the State may contribute towards the cost of building a pedestrian facility.
- (3) *Freeway Facilities.* Sidewalks may be built across the freeway right of way on bridges or through undercrossings where necessary

to connect with existing or planned sidewalks. Construction of planned sidewalks should be imminent. Within the foregoing criteria, sidewalks can be part of the original project or added later when the surrounding area develops.

- (4) *Overcrossing Approaches.* Where sidewalks are planned on overcrossing structures, the fill should be widened to provide for future sidewalks where they are not now warranted.
- (5) *School Pedestrian Walkways.* A pedestrian walkway should be considered when all of the following conditions are fulfilled:
  - (a) The highway lies on the "Suggested Route to School"; and
  - (b) Existing road shoulders outside the traveled way are less than 2 m wide; and
  - (c) More than 20 children per day use the route walking to or from school, and vehicular traffic exceeds 100 vehicles per hour during those periods of the day; and
  - (d) The governing board of the school district officially requests the pedestrian walkway improvements; and
  - (e) Revision of the "Suggested Route to School" or the attendance boundaries to eliminate the condition is impractical.

See Chapter 10 of the Traffic Manual for further discussion on school area pedestrian safety.

- (6) *Frontage Roads.* Sidewalks may be built along frontage roads connecting local streets that would otherwise dead end at the freeway provided the intersecting streets have sidewalks. Such sidewalks are considered to be replacements of existing facilities. Normally, sidewalks should not be placed on the freeway side of frontage roads except where connections must be made to pedestrian separations.
- (7) *Separated Cross Streets.* Sidewalks may be built on separated cross streets where reconstruction of the cross street is made necessary by the freeway project and where the criteria of paragraph (3) above apply.

- (8) *Bus Stops.* Sidewalks may be built to connect bus stops to local streets.
- (9) *Footpaths.* Footpaths differ from sidewalks only in standard and cost. Footpaths must meet accessibility requirements. This includes requirements for grade and cross slope, and the surface must be firm, stable and slip-resistant. In lieu of PCC or AC, a low cost surfacing such as decomposed granite may be appropriate.
- (10) *Accessibility Requirements.* See Index 105.3.
- (11) *Maintenance.* The State is responsible for maintaining and replacing damaged sidewalks within the right of way except:
  - (a) Where the sidewalk was placed by a private party under encroachment permit that requires the permittee to maintain the sidewalk, but only if the original permittee still owns the abutting property.
  - (b) Where the city or county has placed non-standard sidewalks with colored or textured surfaces, or meandering alignment. See Maintenance Manual for additional discussion on State's maintenance responsibilities regarding sidewalks.

## 105.2 Pedestrian Grade Separations

- (1) *Warrants.* The need for a pedestrian grade separation is based on a study of the present and future needs of a particular area or community. Each situation should be investigated and considered on its own merits. The study should cover pedestrian generating sources in the area, pedestrian crossing volumes, type of highway to be crossed, location of adjacent crossing facilities, circuitry, zoning, land use, sociological and cultural factors, and the predominant type and age of persons expected to utilize the facility.

Pedestrian patterns should be maintained across freeway routes where these patterns have been previously established. Where vehicular crossings are inadequate for pedestrians, separate structures should be provided. In general, if a circuitous route is involved, a pedestrian separation may be

justified even though the number of pedestrians is small.

Special consideration should be given to school crossings. See Chapter 10 of the Traffic Manual for additional discussion of factors to be analyzed when considering pedestrian structures along school routes.

State participation in the financing of pedestrian separations at ramp terminals is not normally justified because of the accident history at these locations. Exceptions to this general policy should be considered only in special circumstances where no less expensive alternative is feasible.

Where a pedestrian grade separation is justified, an overcrossing is preferred. Undercrossings should be avoided because of the potential for criminal incidents and vandalism. Consideration may be given to an undercrossing when specifically requested in writing by a local agency, but unobstructed visibility should be provided through the structure and approaches.

See Index 105.3 for discussion of provisions for physically disabled persons.

### (2) Financing.

- (a) *Freeways--*Where the pedestrian grade separation is justified prior to award of the freeway contract, the State should pay the full cost of the pedestrian facility. In some cases, construction of the separation may be deferred; however, where the need has been established to the satisfaction of the Department prior to award of the freeway contract, the State should pay the entire cost of the separation.

Local jurisdictions have some control (by zoning and planning) of development that influences pedestrian traffic patterns. Therefore, where a pedestrian grade separation is justified after the award of a freeway contract, the State's share of the total construction cost of the separation should not exceed 50%. The State must enter into a cooperative agreement with the local jurisdiction on this basis.

- (b) Conventional Highways--Grade separations are not normally provided for either cars or pedestrians on conventional highways. However, in those rare cases where pedestrian use is extensive, and where the local agency has requested in writing that a pedestrian separation be constructed, an overcrossing may be considered. The State's share of the total construction cost of the pedestrian facility should not exceed 50%. The State must enter into a cooperative agreement with the local jurisdiction on this basis.

### 105.3 Accessibility Requirements

The requirement to provide equivalent access to facilities for all individuals, regardless of disability, is stated in several laws adopted at both the State and Federal level. Two of the most notable references are The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) which was enacted by the Federal Government and took effect on January 26, 1992, and Section 4450 of the California Government Code.

The ADA is federally mandated, comprehensive civil rights legislation that has, among other items, revised design standards related to access for disabled individuals. The ADA currently allows State and local governments the option to use either the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) or the Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS, the existing Federal standard) to assure accessibility requirements are achieved. An interim Final Ruling affecting Title II of the ADA (State and local government facilities) has been published, with final approval expected by 1995, that would make the ADAAG the only Federally acceptable standard. Any facilities constructed by public entities would be required to comply with the standards stated in the ADA, at a minimum.

Section 4450 of the California Government Code requires that buildings, structures, sidewalks, curbs, and related facilities that are constructed using any State funds, or the funds of cities, counties, or other political subdivisions be accessible to and usable by the physically disabled. Section 4450 states that, unless provided by law, facilities shall be

constructed in conformance with the State Building Code.

Subsequent to the passage of the ADA, the Division of the State Architect (DSA) in the Department of General Services revised the State Building Code (Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations) to generally conform with the ADAAG. In most cases, the accessibility standards in Title 24 are more stringent than those in either the ADAAG or UFAS, but in some cases they are less so. Caltrans has traditionally followed Title 24 requirements in the design of all facilities. See "Disabled Access Regulations, Title 24, Interpretive Manual," prepared by DSA.

The DSA is empowered to grant exceptions from the requirements of Title 24 standards, but only when it is evident that "equivalent facilitation and protection" are provided for the disabled.

- (1) *Policy.* The Department's policy is to follow the requirements of both the UFAS and Title 24. This means that both sets of standards should be reviewed to determine if differences exist, and the most stringent standard between the two regulations should apply.

If, as expected, a modified ADAAG is adopted as the Federal standard for State and local governments, new instructions to designers will be distributed and a revision to the Highway Design Manual will follow.

Plans and specifications pertinent to the accessibility requirements for facilities which are subject to Title 24 must be submitted to DSA for review and approval. Curb ramps in compliance with Caltrans' standard plans need not be reviewed by DSA.

- (2) *Procedures.* There is no formal approval process when Federal accessibility standards (either UFAS or ADAAG) cannot be met. This does not mean that the Federal standards are of less importance or can be ignored. The regulations were written in a manner that provides few allowable exceptions to meeting the standards and no single federal entity would be capable of handling all potential requests. Documentation in the form of a memo to file should be retained in the project files that

discusses the justification and reasoning for not meeting the applicable standard. Project Engineers should discuss any proposed deviation from State of Federal standards with their Headquarters Geometric Reviewer.

The following procedures were developed to help designers satisfy DSA regulations and the requirements of Title 24, and therefore reference DSA in several locations.

- (a) Plan Submittal--The engineer (or architect) in overall charge of the design of a project has basic responsibility for insuring that all plans and specifications for the construction or reconstruction of project facilities designed for use by pedestrians conform to the above policy. In the case of facilities designed by Headquarters' personnel, such as certain roadside rests, vista points, maintenance facilities, pedestrian separations, etc., it may be more convenient for the Headquarters' designer to make the submittal to the DSA, even though the balance of the project is being designed in a District. The designer should coordinate with the District to determine who will carry out this function. Copies of any Headquarters' submittals or approvals received from the DSA should be sent to the District.

The details of the pedestrian facilities and their relationship to the project as a whole should be clearly depicted, and submitted to DSA in a timely manner. It is preferable that plans for all pedestrian facilities on a given project be submitted at the same time so that their relationship to one another will be clear to the architectural reviewer.

The Department also has the responsibility to see that plans and specifications for pedestrian facilities on local projects financed with any State funds administered by the Department are forwarded to DSA for review and approval. This may be accomplished by including in the applicable agreement with the local agency a clause requiring the local agency to secure DSA approval or specific exception for the design of

all facilities for use by people on foot or in wheelchairs.

DSA approval is not required for local projects with no State funding except for projects involving schools.

- (b) Documentation--Each pedestrian facility must be listed in the PS&E submittal on State-administered projects. Appropriate project records should document the fact that necessary approvals have been obtained from DSA.

The DOS is responsible for obtaining the necessary approval from DSA on projects that they design. Preliminary contacts with DSA will establish the basic requirements. However, DSA will provide written confirmation of compliance only upon receipt of the completed bid package (plans and specifications printed for advertising). DOS will provide copies of this approval to the Districts.

- (c) State Highways--Any requests for exceptions to the standards on State highway projects must be processed by the District. The request should include adequate detail and supporting exhibits in duplicate to insure a clear presentation of the case. The Access Compliance Unit of the DSA in Sacramento should be contacted to obtain an application form and the necessary processing instructions.

#### **105.4 Guidelines for the Location and Design of Wheelchair Ramps**

- (1) *Policy.* On all State highway projects adequate and reasonable access for the safe and convenient movement of physically disabled persons are to be provided across curbs that are constructed or replaced at pedestrian crosswalks. (This includes all marked and unmarked crosswalks, as defined in Section 275 of the Vehicle Code.)

Access also should be provided at bridge sidewalk approaches and at curbs in the vicinity of pedestrian separation structures.

Where a need is identified at an existing curb on a conventional highway, a wheelchair



ramp may be constructed either by others under encroachment permit or by the State.

- (2) *Location Guidelines.* When locating wheelchair ramps, designers must consider the position of utilities such as power poles, fire hydrants, street lights, traffic signals, and drainage facilities.

On new construction, two ramps should be installed at each corner as shown on the Standard Plans. For retrofit construction, one ramp at the center of the curb return is acceptable, but not desirable. The usage of the one-ramp design should be restricted to those locations where the volume of vehicles making right turns is low. This will reduce the potential frequency of conflicts between turning vehicles and disabled persons entering the common crosswalk area to cross either street.

Ramps and/or curb openings should be provided at midblock crosswalks and where pedestrians cross curbed channelization or median islands at intersections. Often, on traffic signalization, channelization projects, etc., curbs are proposed to be modified only on portions of an existing intersection. In those cases, consideration should be given to installing retrofit wheelchair ramps on all legs of the intersection.

- (3) *Ramp Design.* Wheelchair ramp designs should conform to current standards. Contract plans for ramps that conform to the details in the Standard Plans do not have to be submitted to DSA for approval. Other ramp designs that do not conform to the Standard Plans must be submitted to DSA for review and approval. Usually special justification will be needed for any deviation from standards.

## Topic 106 - Stage Construction and Utilization of Local Roads

### 106.1 Stage Construction

- (1) *Cost Control Measures.* When funds are limited and costs increase, estimated project costs often exceed the amounts available in spite of the best efforts of the engineering staff. At such times the advantages of reducing initial project costs by

some form of staged construction should be considered as an alternative to deferring the entire project. Stage construction may include one or more of the following:

- (a) Shorten the proposed improvement, or divide it into segments for construction in successive years;
- (b) Reduce number of lanes for initial construction. For example, a 4-lane freeway in a rural area with low current traffic volumes might be staged for two lanes initially with capacity adequate for at least 10 years after construction. Similarly, a freeway might be constructed initially four or six lanes wide with provision for future widening in the median to meet future traffic needs.
- (c) Save on pavement design. For AC pavement this most often would be done by reducing the surface course thickness with provision for a future overlay to bring the pavement to full design depth.
- (d) Downscope geometric design features. This last expedient should be considered only as a last resort; geometric features such as alignment, grade, sight distance, weaving, or merging distance, are difficult and expensive to change once constructed.

A choice among cost reducing alternatives should be made only after weighing the benefits and disadvantages of each, particularly as they apply to interchange designs, which have a substantial effect on cost. See Index 502.3(2) for design considerations regarding freeway interchanges.

### 106.2 Utilization of Local Roads

In the construction of freeways or other highways by stages or construction units, it frequently becomes necessary to use portions of the local road system at one or more stages prior to completion of the whole route. Usually the local road is used as a traversable connection between the newly completed segment and the existing State highway.

Where such use of a local road is required, it may be handled by:

- (a) Temporarily adopting the local road system as a traversable State highway, or
- (b) Designating the local road system as a detour until the next or final stage is constructed.

(1) *Temporary Adoption of Local Roads as State Routes.* Temporary adoption of a local road system as a traversable route requires CTC action. Temporary adoption should be used where, for example, one unit of the freeway construction has been completed and the District wishes to route traffic over the new roadway without waiting for completion of the next succeeding units, and the use of local roads is necessary to connect the freeway with the old State highway. Temporary adoption is useful where construction of the next freeway unit is a number of years in the future.

Such a temporary CTC adoption makes it legally possible to relinquish the old highway portion superseded by relocation.

Normally, the Department will finance any needed improvement required to handle traffic during the period the local road system is a traversable State route. Financing by the local agency is not required. However, adoption of the local road by the CTC must precede State financing and construction of the proposed improvements.

When a local facility is adopted as a traversable route, the Department is responsible for all maintenance costs of the local facility unless otherwise provided for under the terms of a cooperative agreement. The Department normally would not assume maintenance until the road is in use as a connection or, when necessary, until the award of an improvement contract.

Formal concurrence of the local agency must be obtained before an adoption action is presented to the CTC.

If the local agency wants more improvements than are needed to accommodate traffic during the period when the local road is used as a State highway connection, betterments are to be financed by the local agency. In such cases a cooperative agreement would be necessary to define the

responsibilities of each party for construction and maintenance.

- (2) *Local Roads Used as Detours.* In lieu of temporary adoption by the CTC, a local road may be designated a detour to serve as a connection between the end of State highway construction and the old State highway following completion of a State highway construction unit and pending completion of the next unit. Local road detours are useful if the adjoining construction unit is scheduled in a few years or less and the local road connection is short and direct. Adoption by the CTC is not required when a local road is designated as a temporary detour.

Under Section 93 of the Streets and Highways Code, the Department can finance any needed improvements required to handle detour traffic during the period the local road is used to provide continuity for State highway traffic. A cooperative agreement is usually required to establish terms of financing, construction, maintenance, and liability. If the local agency wants more than the minimum work needed to accommodate traffic on the local road during its use as a State highway, such betterments are to be financed by the local agency.

Section 93 also makes the Department responsible for restoration of the local road or street to its former condition at the conclusion of its use as a detour. The Department is responsible for all reasonable additional maintenance costs incurred by local agencies attributable to the detour. If a betterment is requested by the local agency as a part of restoration it should be done at no cost to the Department.

## Topic 107 - Roadside Installations

### 107.1 Roadway Connections

All connections to vista points, truck weighing or brake inspection stations, safety rest areas, or any other connections used by the traveling public, should be constructed to standards commensurate with the standards established for the roadway to which they are connected. On freeways this should include standard

acceleration and deceleration lanes and all other design features required by normal ramp connections (Index 504.2). On conventional highways and expressways, the standard public road connection should be the minimum connection (Index 405.7).

Only one means of exit and one means of entry to these installations should be allowed.

### **107.2 Maintenance Yards and Police Facilities on Freeways**

Roadside maintenance yards and police facilities other than truck weighing installations are not to be provided with direct access to a freeway. They should be located on or near a cross road having an interchange which provides for all turning movements. This policy applies to all freeways including Interstate Highways.

### **107.3 Location of Border Inspection Stations**

Other agencies require vehicles entering California to stop at buildings maintained by these agencies for inspection of vehicles and cargoes. No such building, parking area, or roadway adjacent to the parking area at these facilities should be closer than 10 m from the nearest edge of the ultimate traveled way of the highway.

## **Topic 108 - Coordination With Other Agencies**

### **108.1 Divided Nonfreeway Facilities**

Per Section 144.5 of the Streets and Highways Code, advance notice is required when a conventional highway, which is not a declared freeway, is to be divided or separated into separate roadways, if such division or separation will result in preventing traffic on existing county roads or city streets from making a direct crossing of the State highway at the intersection. In this case, 30 days notice must be given to the City Council or Board of Supervisors having jurisdiction over said roads or streets.

The provisions of Section 144.5 of the Streets and Highways Code are considered as not applying to freeway construction, or to temporary barriers for the purpose of controlling traffic during a limited period of time, as when

the highway is undergoing repairs, or is flooded. As to freeway construction, it is considered that the local agency receives ample notice, by virtue of the freeway agreement, of the manner in which all local roads will be affected by the freeway, and that the special notice would therefore be superfluous.

When the notice is required, a letter should be prepared and submitted to the appropriate authorities at least 60 days before road revision will occur. Prior to the submittal of the letter and before plans are completed, the appropriate authorities should be contacted and advised of contemplated plans. The timing of this notice should provide ample opportunity for consideration of any suggestions or objection made. In general, it is intended that the formal notice of intent which is required by law will confirm the final plans which have been developed after discussions with the affected authorities.

The PS&E package should document the date notice was given and the date of reply by the affected local agencies.

The Office of Project Planning and Design must be notified by letter as soon as possible in all cases where controversy develops over the closures to crossing traffic.

### **108.2 Bus Loading Facilities**

(1) *General.* These instructions are applicable to projects involving bus loading facilities on freeways as authorized in Section 148 of the Streets and Highways Code. Instructions pertaining to the provisions for mass public transportation facilities in freeway corridors, authorized in Section 150 of the Streets and Highways Code, are covered in other Caltrans' written directives.

During the early phases of the design process, the District must send to the PUC, governing bodies of local jurisdictions, and common carriers or transit authorities operating in the vicinity, a map showing the proposed location and type of interchanges, with a request for their comments regarding bus loading facilities. The transmittal letter should state that bus loading facilities will be constructed only where they are in the public interest and where the cost is commensurate with the public benefits to be derived from their construction. It should also state that if

the agency desires to have bus loading facilities included in the design of the freeway that their reply should include locations for bus stops and any supporting data, such as estimates of the number of bus passengers per day, which would help to justify their request.

- (2) *Conferences and Hearings.* No conferences or hearings are to be held where all of the contacted agencies say that bus loading facilities are not required on the proposed freeway. The freeway should be designed without bus loading facilities in these cases.

Where any one of the agencies request bus loading facilities on the proposed freeway, the District should hold a conference and invite representatives of each agency.

Prior to the conference, the District should prepare geometric designs of the bus loading facilities for the purpose of making cost estimates and determining the feasibility of providing the facilities. Bus loading facilities must be approved by the District Director with concurrence from the Project Development Coordinator (see Topic 82 for approvals).

- (3) *Justification.* General warrants for the provision of bus loading facilities in terms of cost or number of passengers have not been established. Each case should be considered individually because the number of passengers justifying a bus loading facility may vary greatly between remote rural locations and high volume urban freeways.

While bus stops at each interchange may be desirable from the standpoint of convenience to the patrons, such frequent stops would lengthen the overall running time from point of origin to point of destination.

It may be preferable for patrons to board and leave the bus at an off-freeway location rather than use stairways or ramps to freeway bus stops. Where existing highways with bus service are incorporated into the freeway right of way, it may be necessary to make provisions for bus service for those passengers who were served along the existing highway. This may be accomplished either by providing freeway bus loading facilities or by the bus leaving and reentering the freeway at inter-

changes. See "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets", AASHTO, 1994, for a discussion of bus stop guidelines.

- (4) *Reports.* On projects where all the agencies contacted have expressed the view that bus stops are not needed, a report to the Office of Project Planning and Design is not required. However, a statement to the effect that the PUC, bus companies, and local governmental agencies have been contacted regarding bus stops and have made no request for their provisions should be included in the final environmental document or the PS&E submittal, whichever is appropriate.

For projects where one or more of the agencies involved have requested bus loading facilities either formally or informally during conferences, a complete report should be incorporated in the final environmental document. It should include:

- (a) A map showing the section of freeway involved and the locations at which bus loading facilities are being considered.
- (b) A complete discussion of all conferences held.
- (c) Data on type of bus service provided, both at present and after completion of the freeway.
- (d) Estimate of cost of each facility, including any additional cost such as right of way or lengthening of structures required to accommodate the facility.
- (e) Number of buses per day and the number of on and off passengers per day served by the existing bus stops and the number estimated to use the proposed facilities.
- (f) District's recommendation as to the provision of bus loading facilities. If the recommendation is in favor of providing bus loading facilities, drawings showing location and tentative geometric designs should be included.

### 108.3 Coordination with the FHWA

- (1) *General.* As early in the design process as possible, FHWA representatives who visit the Districts at regular intervals should be kept informed of proposed activities on Federal-aid routes. Formal approval of design standards by the FHWA is required for all projects which are considered to be Interstate Completion projects or new or reconstruction projects on the Interstate System costing more than \$1,000,000 or where there is a change in access control.
- (2) *Approvals.* The District Directors are responsible for obtaining formal FHWA approval for the following items on Federal-aid routes:
  - (a) Route location (location approval). See the Project Development Procedures Manual for a discussion of procedures to be followed to obtain route and design approvals.
  - (b) The final environmental document (such action constitutes FHWA approval of location and basic design features).
  - (c) Exceptions to design standards are required for all design elements which do not meet minimum standards related to any of the FHWA's 13 controlling criteria for projects which are considered to be Interstate Completion projects or new or reconstruction projects on the Interstate System costing more than \$1,000,000 or where there is a change in access control. See Index 82.2.
  - (d) Changes in access control lines, changes in locations of connection points, adding connection points, or deleting connection points on the Interstate System (even when no Federal money is involved).
  - (e) Addition of or changes in locked gates under certain conditions (see Index 701.2).

Normally, major nonparticipating items are identified at the time of design approval. Approximately twelve months prior to PS&E submittal, a project review should be arranged by the District with the Project Development Coordinator and the FHWA

representative to discuss nonparticipating items and unusual or special design features to resolve any differences or to determine if additional FHWA approvals are necessary. The importance of early contact is emphasized to avoid delays when final plans are prepared.

For additional information, see the Project Development Procedures Manual.

## Topic 109 - Scenic Values in Planning and Design

### 109.1 Basic Precepts

On any highway, pleasing appearance is an important consideration. Scenic values must be considered along with safety, utility, economy, and all the other factors considered in planning and design. This is particularly true of the many portions of the State Highway System situated in areas of natural beauty. The location of the highway, its alignment and profile, the cross section design, and other features should be in harmony with the setting. Economy consistent with traffic needs is of paramount importance, although a reasonable additional expenditure can be justified to enhance the beauty of the highway.

### 109.2 Design Speed

The design speed should be carefully chosen as it is the key element which establishes standards for the horizontal alignment and profile of the highway. These requirements in turn directly influence how well the highway blends into the landscape. Scenic values, particularly in areas of natural scenic beauty must play a part along with the other factors set forth under Index 101.1 in selecting a design speed.

### 109.3 Aesthetic Factors

Throughout planning and design consider the following:

- (a) The location of the highway should be such that the new construction will preserve the natural environment and will lead to and unfold scenic positions.
- (b) The general alignment and profile of the highway should fit the character of the area traversed so that unsightly scars of

excavation and embankment will be held to a minimum. Curvilinear horizontal alignment should be coordinated with vertical curvature to achieve a pleasing appearance.

- (c) Destruction of desirable vegetation (e.g., trees, specimen plants, diminishing native species of historical plantings) should be minimized. Whenever specimen or mature trees are present, especially in forested areas, a tree survey should be made to provide accurate data on the variety, condition, location, size, and ground elevations of trees affected.

- (d) Appropriate replacement planting should be provided when specimens removed are considered significant. When native or specimen trees are removed, replacement planting should reflect the visual importance of the plantings lost. Where the visual impact of tree removal is substantial, replacement with large transplants or specimen size trees may be appropriate. If not, an appropriate quantity of smaller replacements may be required to ensure eventual survival of an adequate number of plants.

Provisions for watering and establishment of replacement planting should also be considered. The District Landscape Architect should be consulted early in the planning and design process so that appropriate conservation and revegetation measures are incorporated.

- (e) Existing vegetation such as trees or large brush may be selectively thinned or removed to open up scenic vistas or provide a natural looking boundary between forest and cleared areas. Vegetation removal for aesthetic purposes should be undertaken only with the concurrence of the District Landscape Architect.
- (f) Vista points should be provided when views and scenery of outstanding merit occur and feasible sites can be found. (See Topic 904 for site selection criteria.)
- (g) Whenever feasible, wide medians and independent roadways should be provided on multilane facilities as these

features add scenic interest and relieve the monotony of parallel roadways.

- (h) Bridges, tunnels, and walls merit consideration in lieu of prominent excavation and embankment slopes when costs of such alternates are not excessive.
- (i) Slopes should be flattened whenever practical and vegetation provided so that lines of construction are softened.
- (j) Structures should be located and designed to give the most pleasing appearance.
- (k) Scars from material sites should be avoided. Planting compatible with the surroundings should be undertaken to revegetate such scars when they are unavoidable.
- (l) Drainage appurtenances should be so located that erosion, sumps, and debris collection areas are hidden from view or eliminated when site conditions permit.
- (m) Interchange areas should be graded to provide graceful, natural looking contours. Appearance can be further enhanced by planting a vegetative cover appropriate to the locality, being careful to maintain driver visibility.
- (n) In locations where graffiti has been excessive, designs which deter graffiti should be used.

## **Topic 110 - Special Considerations**

### **110.1 Design for Overloaded Material Hauling Equipment**

Sometimes bid costs can be reduced by allowing the hauling of overloads on a construction contract. The savings may warrant designing structures and structural sections of new roadways to carry the heavier loads and also reconstructing roadbeds used by overloaded material hauling equipment.

In general, hauling of overloads is restricted to the project limits. However, overloads are permitted on portions of existing highways which are to be abandoned, repaired or

reconstructed with a new structural section, if the overloads do not affect the design of the reconstructed structural section.

Any overload requirements should be determined before detailed plans are prepared. The District should request from the Office of Structure Design the estimated additional cost of the structures to carry overloads and use this information in making economic comparisons.

Factors to be considered in making the comparisons should include the costs of strengthening structures, haul costs, amount of material to be hauled, repair or reconstruction of structural sections, construction of separate haul roads or structures, strengthening of the new structural section, sequence of construction operations, and other pertinent factors. In some cases, consideration should be given for requiring the contractor to construct a separate haul structure over a heavily traveled surface street when large quantities of material are involved.

The comparison and all factors leading to the decision should be complete, fully documented, and retained in the project files.

The design of structures for overloads will normally be governed by one of the following categories:

- (1) *Category 1.* Structures definitely planned to carry overloads. This category should be used only when the structures are to be constructed under a separate contract prior to a grading contract and the estimated savings in grading costs exceed the extra structure costs. The District must request the Office of Structure Design to design for the permissible overloading.
- (2) *Category 2.* Structures which are designed to allow the contractor the option of strengthening to carry overloads. The contract plans will include alternative details for strengthening the structure and the contractor can decide at the time of bidding whether to haul around the structure, build his own haul road structures, use "legal load" equipment on the unstrengthened structure, or construct the structure in accordance with the strengthened alternative design. The District should notify the DOS regarding structures to have optional designs. Undercrossings, overheads,

separations, and stream crossings are most likely to be in this category.

- (3) *Category 3.* Structures which will not be designed to carry overloads. Most overcrossing, ramp, and frontage road structures are in this category.

The District should consult with the DOS early in the design phase when determining the design overload category of each bridge in the project. Each case where hauling of overloads is permitted must be specifically described in the Special Provisions. Each structure designed under Categories 1 and 2 must also be designated in the Special Provisions. The design load must not exceed the weight limitation of Section 7-1.02, "Weight Limitations", of the Standard Specifications. The District Director or designated representative must approve the overload category for each structure.

## 110.2 Control of Water Pollution

Water pollution related to the construction of highways and to the drainage of completed highways should be held to the practical minimum. This objective should be considered during both the route location studies and detailed design phases of each project.

Proposed alterations of existing conditions in the drainage patterns and creations of exposed earth surfaces should be considered in relation to the effect on erosion and siltation. Where interdisciplinary analysis (engineering, biology, geology, chemical) indicates that harmful physical, chemical, or biological pollution of streams, rivers, lakes, reservoirs, coastal waters, or groundwater may occur, preventive measures and practices will be required. These measures include temporary erosion control features during construction, scheduling of work, as well as the permanent facilities to be built under the contract. The control of erosion associated with permanent drainage structures is covered in Chapter 800, "Drainage".

The background and general requirements of water quality control laws are discussed in the Environmental Handbook.

Districts must initiate contact with the appropriate agencies responsible for water quality as early as feasible in development of transportation projects to ensure full identification of pollution problems, and to ensure full

cooperation, understanding, and agreement between Caltrans and the other agencies. The agencies to be contacted will vary from project to project depending on the nature of the project, the aquatic resources present, and the uses of the water. The agencies that may be interested in a project include but are not limited to the following: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, California Regional Water Quality Control Boards, California Department of Fish and Game, Flood Control Districts, and local water districts. The District Environmental Unit can provide assistance in determining which agencies should be contacted.

Recommendations for mitigation measures or construction and operational controls contained in the Project's Water Quality Study should receive full consideration in the development of the project. Caltrans is legally bound to comply with the appropriate permits as outlined in the California Permit Handbook. Caltrans is also legally bound to comply with any water quality mitigation measures specified in the projects environmental document. Plans and specifications should reflect water quality protection measures in a manner that is enforceable in contracts.

Waste Discharge Requirements and NPDES permits, which generally set requirements for the contractor, are issued through a formal order of the Regional Water Quality Control Board and can be revised only through the same process; thus once established, they are cumbersome to adjust. On the other hand, Monitoring Programs, which normally are the responsibility of Caltrans' personnel, are established and may be revised by administrative action of the Boards' Executive Officer. While Monitoring Programs may call for collection of certain data for an indeterminate time, the anticipated requirements can be adjusted if experience proves they are unreasonable or non-productive.

Districts are authorized to take all necessary action on Discharge or Monitoring Requirements which:

- (a) Pertain solely to the specific proposed project, and
- (b) Fall within reasonable and normally acceptable practices. (This is not meant

to discourage the development of innovative designs or operational methods.)

Districts are not authorized to act independently on Discharge or Monitoring Requirements which:

- (a) Are deemed unreasonable, or
- (b) Are unusual and may result in establishing a precedent with statewide implications, or
- (c) Extend beyond the scope of the proposed project, or
- (d) Could result in added projects or programs.

Requirements in the latter categories should be acknowledged and forwarded to the Headquarters Environmental Engineering Office along with recommendations.

The intent of the discharge and monitoring requirements is to maintain statewide uniformity and control over possible new programs.

On sensitive projects early contact should be established between the District project development personnel and biologists, geologists, and other specialists available in the Headquarters Environmental Program, the Engineering Service Center (ESC) Office of Structural Foundations, or other Districts, to ensure optimum development of water quality control measures.

Because siltation resulting from erosion is recognized as a major factor in water pollution, continuous efforts should be made to improve erosion control practices.

(1) *Route Location Phase.* When route location studies are started, consideration should be given to the items in the following list:

- (a) Identify all waters in the vicinity of a highway project which might affect construction, maintenance and operational activities.

The environmental factors that might affect preconstruction activities should be looked into for the benefit of the resident engineer and contractor. An example would be relocation of drilling of pile foundations in a sensitive stream to prevent possible impacts.



- (b) Identify for each project all waters, both fresh and saline, surface and underground, where water quality may be affected by the proposed construction.
  - (c) Determine if any watersheds, aquifers, wells, reservoirs, lakes, or streams are sources for domestic water supplies.
  - (d) Determine if any sensitive fishery, wildlife, recreational, agricultural, or industrial aquatic resources are located in the vicinity of the project.
  - (e) Consider possible relocation or realignment that could be made to avoid or minimize the possibility of pollution of existing waters.
  - (f) Identify variations in the erosive characteristics of the soils in the area, and consider relocation or grade changes that would minimize erosion.
  - (g) Where possible, avoid unstable areas where construction may cause future landslides, if this is possible.
  - (h) Identify construction season preference of regulatory agencies.
- (2) *Design Phase.* During the design phase, the items listed above should again be considered. More specific items for consideration are presented in the following checklist:
- (a) Provide for the preservation of roadside vegetation beyond the limits of construction by special provisions.
  - (b) Design slopes as flat as is reasonable with slope rounding, contouring, or stepping to minimize erosion and to promote plant growth.
  - (c) Provide seeding and planting on new slopes. Consider the advisability of specifying completion of planting on exposed slopes by a certain date to winterize the project, temporary planting with quick growing cover, not just tying planting time to completion of the slopes. Native plants should be considered for all plantings.
- Plan permanent erosion control plantings for fall or spring; contact the ESC Office of Structural Foundations, Geologic Services Section before setting plant establishment periods and contract specifications.
- (d) Whenever planting must be delayed, provide temporary erosion protection with mulches, fiber mats, netting, dust palliatives, crust forming chemicals, silt fences, plastic sheets or any other procedure that may be necessary to prevent erosion. The ESC Office of Structural Foundations, Geologic Services Section can assist in the selection and design of temporary erosion control measures.
  - (e) Design overside drains, surface, subsurface, and cross drains so that they will discharge in locations and in such a manner that surface and subsurface water quality will not be affected. The outlets may require aprons, bank protection, silting basins, or energy dissipators.
  - (f) Provide for adequate fish passage through highway culverts or under bridges when necessary to protect or enhance fishery resources.
  - (g) Provide bank protection where the highway is adjacent to rivers, streams, lakes, or other bodies of water.
  - (h) Where required, provide slope protection or channel lining, energy dissipators, etc. for channel changes.
  - (i) Where the State has made arrangements for materials, borrow, or disposal sites, grading plans should be provided and reseeding required. Special provisions should be inserted requiring the contractor to furnish plans for grading and reseeding of sites obtained by him.
  - (j) Check right of way widths for adequate space for rounding at tops of cuts and bottoms of fills and for adequate slope protection ditches. Also consider right of way or encroachment rights for temporary work such as desilting basins, stream diversion, or stream crossing protection.
  - (k) All ditches subject to erosion should be treated. These treatments include but are not limited to grass lining, rock lining

(with or without geotextile underlayment), or paving. The ESC Office of Structural Foundations, Geologic Services Section can assist with the selection and design of ditch treatment. Consideration should be given to using soil cement in median ditches or other wide drainage areas that cannot be vegetated.

- (l) Temporary construction features for the control of erosion and water pollution that can be predicted should be made a part of the plans, specifications, and contract pay items. Such items as extra seeding of slopes, berms, dikes, ditches, pipes, dams, settling basins, stream diversion channels, slope drains, and crossings over live streams should be considered. Since all contingencies probably cannot be foreseen, supplemental work funds should be set up for each project. Pay items for temporary erosion control should not be adjusted for increased or decreased quantity.
  - (m) Special consideration should be given to using vegetated ditches to remove highway runoff pollutants. The ESC Office of Structural Foundations, Geologic Services Section can provide assistance in designing and constructing vegetated ditches.
  - (n) Mandatory order of work clauses sometimes result in increased costs or longer time limits, but they must be considered where their use would eliminate the expense of temporary construction or where they result in earlier protection of erodible areas, or improved handling of site runoff.
- (3) *Abandonment and Destruction of Water Wells.* The abandonment and destruction of water wells within the highway right of way must be handled in accordance with requirements established by statute and by agreement with the Department of Water Resources (DWR) to avoid pollution of underground water and ensure public safety. Sections 13700 to 13806 of the California Water Code deal, in general, with the construction and destruction of wells. Section 24400 to 24404 of the Health and Safety

Code require that abandoned wells be covered, filled, or fenced for safety reasons. Statewide standards for construction, maintenance and destruction of water wells, monitoring wells and cathodic protection wells have been issued by the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) in Bulletin 74 - 81, "Water Well Standards: State of California", dated December, 1981, and Bulletin 74 - 81", dated January, 1990. Pursuant to these standards and interagency agreement with DWR, the following procedures are to be followed to determine requirements for abandonment and destruction of wells within State highway rights of way.

- (a) Before producing water wells within the highway right of way are abandoned, a determination should be made of the possible future uses of the wells. Such future uses include landscape irrigation, roadside rests, vista points, maintenance facilities, truck weighing facilities, and others. Also see Index 706.4.
- (b) The District Project Development and Right of Way Branches determine the location of water wells that will be affected by highway construction on a project basis.
- (c) The District submits a letter to the Director, Department of Water Resources, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA. 95814 Attention: Water Resources Evaluation Section, Division of Resources Development, listing the wells to be abandoned and any information that may be known about them. The letter should include the scheduled PS&E date and the anticipated advertising date for the project. Two copies of a map, or maps, showing the location of each well accurately enough so it can be located in the field should be included with the letter.
- (d) DWR will investigate the wells and write a report recommending procedures to be used in destruction of the wells within the highway right of way. The interagency agreement provides for reimbursement of the DWR's cost for these investigations and reports.

- (e) DWR will forward its report to the District.
  - (f) Provisions for destruction of abandoned wells occasioned by highway construction must be included in the District PS&E report. The work, usually done by filling and sealing, normally should be included in the contract Special Provisions. Steps must be taken to insure that wells are left in a safe condition between the time the site is acquired by the State and the time the well is sealed.
  - (g) In some cases, local ordinances or conditions will require the filling and sealing of the well prior to the highway contract in order to leave the well in a safe condition.
  - (h) The contractor who does the work to abandon the well must file the Notice of Intent (Form DWR 2125) and the Water Well Drillers Report (Form DWR 188) required by the Department of Water Resources.
  - (i) Also, under California Water Code Section 13801, after January 15, 1990, all cities and counties are required to have adopted ordinances that require prior acquisition of permits for all well construction, reconstruction and destruction and requiring possession of an active C-57 contractors license as the minimum qualification for persons permitted to work on wells.
- (4) *Summary.* To prevent pollution of all waters that could be affected by a highway construction project, it is desirable to avoid involvement with the water or avoid the construction of erodible features. Since it is seldom possible to avoid all such features, erosion should be attacked at the source with the design of maximum erosion control measures. Material resulting from erosion should either be discharged in locations where no negative environmental impacts will occur, or be deposited in locations that are accessible to maintenance forces. The ESC Office of Structural Foundations can provide technical assistance in assessing the impacts of erosion and in designing erosion control features.

Caltrans should work actively with all appropriate agencies interested in water quality. Particular attention should be paid to agencies that have permit authority. All aspects of water quality should be considered in the design.

Portions of Section 7 of the Standard Specifications outline the contractor's responsibilities for the work and for protection of adjoining property and waters. Section 7 also requires the contractor to develop a water pollution control program which must be accepted by the Resident Engineer.

Project Development personnel should ensure that all aspects of erosion control and other water quality control features considered during design are fully explained to the Resident Engineer. Such data is essential for review of the contractor's water pollution control program. Designers should participate in that review when possible, both from the standpoint of offering assistance and gaining practical knowledge of contractor's methods and procedures. Judgment must be used in differentiating between planned temporary protection features and work which the contractor must perform in order to fulfill his responsibility to protect the work from damage.

Since all work performed by a contractor is paid for one way or another, since it is desirable to avoid contract change orders, and because important protection should not be left to the contractor's judgment, it is important that all predictable temporary protection measures be incorporated in the plans and specifications and items for payment included in the contract items of work.

In addition, the abandonment of water wells must be given special attention in accordance with Section (3) above.

### 110.3 Control of Air Pollution

Air pollution associated with the construction of highways and to completed highway facilities should be held to the practical minimum. The designer should consider the impacts of haul roads, disposal sites, borrow sites, and other material sources in addition to construction within the highway right of way.

(1) *Control of Dust.* Many of the items listed under Index 110.2, Control of Water Pollution, are applicable to dust control. Consideration should be given to these items and additional material presented in the following list:

- (a) See Index 110.2(2)(a), (c), (d), (k) and (n).
- (b) Flat areas not susceptible to erosion by water may have to be planted to prevent wind erosion.
- (c) Embankments and or cut slopes can provide substantial wind erosion materials, when they project above the surrounding areas. They may require planting even if water erosion is only a minor consideration.
- (d) In areas naturally subject to dust or sand storms, wind breaks should be considered to control dust.
- (e) Special provisions should be used requiring the contractor to restore material, borrow, or disposal sites, and temporary haul roads to a condition such that their potential as sources of blowing dust or other pollution is no greater than in their original condition. Work for this purpose that can be predicted should be made a part of the PS&E, which should require submission of the contractors plan for grading, seeding, mulching or other appropriate action.

(2) *Control of Burning.* Health and Safety Code provisions and rules issued by Air Pollution Control Boards will preclude burning on most highway projects. Off-site disposal of debris must not create contamination problems and should not be specified simply as an expedient resolution of the problem without imposing adequate controls on how such disposal site is to be handled. Designers should seek disposal site locations within the right of way where it will be permissible to dispose of debris. Proper procedures, including compaction and burial, should be specified. Debris should not be disposed of within the normal roadway. Burying within the right of way should be done in such a fashion that the layers of debris will not act as a permeable layer or otherwise be detrimental to the

roadway. Acceptable alternates based on economic, aesthetic, safety, and other pertinent considerations should be included in the contract if possible.

On projects where burning will not be permitted and disposal of debris within the right of way is not possible, optional disposal sites should be made available. Information on such site arrangements should be made available in the "Materials Information" furnished to prospective bidders. Reference is made to the applicable portion of Index 111.3 and 111.4 for handling this requirement. Special requirements for disposal of debris and final appearance of the disposal site should be covered in the Special Provisions. The intent of this instruction is that the designer should make sure that prospective bidders have adequate information on which to make a realistic bid on clearing and grubbing.

Because it may be beneficial in promoting growth of cover and controlling erosion, limbs, branches, and brush should be reduced to chips and incorporated with the soil, spread on fill slopes, used as a cover mulch or disposed of in other ways compatible with the location. In forest areas where they will not look out of place, limbs and trunks of trees that are too large for chipping may be limbed and cut to straight lengths and the pieces lined up at the toes of the slope. An earth cover may be necessary for aesthetic reasons, or to reduce fire hazards. Under certain conditions salvage of merchantable timber may be desirable, or may be required by right of way commitments. Whenever merchantable timber is to be salvaged, appropriate specifications should be provided. Stumps and unsightly clumps of debris should be chipped or buried in areas where they will not create future problems. Care should be taken not to block drainage or to interfere with maintenance operations.

Before proposing chipping as the method of disposal, the designer should investigate to determine if plant disease or insect pests will be spread to disease-free or insect-free areas. Procedures to decontaminate such chips before use should be included in the contract if necessary. Designers should

seek advice from local experts and County Agricultural Extension Offices to determine the extent of such problems and the procedures and chemicals to be specified. New chip distribution techniques are available from the ESC Office of Structural Foundations, Geologic Services Section.

The U.S. Forest Service and the State Division of Forestry should be contacted during the design stage to ascertain the requirements that these agencies will make upon any disposal methods to be used in areas under their control.

It will be noted that under certain limited conditions the prohibition against burning may be eliminated from the Special Provisions.

There will be some areas of the State where Air Pollution Control Boards may consider issuing a permit for open burning where the effect on air quality is expected to be negligible and few if any residents would be affected. The individual situation should be studied and appropriate special provisions prepared for each project to fully cover all possible methods of disposal of debris that will be available to the contractor.

The local Air Pollution Control Board should be contacted to determine the current regulations.

- (3) *Summary.* Special consideration should be given to the direction of prevailing winds or high-velocity winds in relation to possible sources of dust and downwind residential, business, or recreational areas. Every practical means should be incorporated in the design of the highway and in the provisions of the contract to prevent air pollution resulting from highway construction and operation.

#### 110.4 Wetlands Protection

The Nation's wetlands are recognized on both the Federal and State level as a valuable resource. As such, there have been several legislative and administrative actions which provide for special consideration for the preservation of wetlands. These are embodied on the Federal level in Executive Order 11990, DOT Order 5660.1A, Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, and the NEPA 404 Integration Process for

Surface Transportation Projects, and the August 24, 1993 Federal Wetlands Policy. Wetlands are covered on the State level by the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Act and the Resources Agency's Wetlands Policy. District Environmental Unit can provide assistance in identifying wetlands and recommending mitigation measures.

#### 110.5 Earthquake Consideration

Earthquakes are naturally occurring events that have a high potential to cause damage and destruction. While it is not possible to completely assure earthquake proof facilities, every attempt should be made to limit potential damage and prevent collapse.

There are certain measures that should be considered when a project is to be constructed in or near a known zone of active faulting.

Early in the route location process, active and inactive faults should be mapped by engineering geologists. A general assessment of the seismic risk of various areas within the study zone should then be prepared. The DOS and Office of Structural Foundations are available to assist in the assessment of seismic risk.

Strong consideration must be given to the location of major interchanges. They must be sited outside of heavily faulted areas unless there are exceptional circumstances that make it impractical to do so. Where close seismic activity is highly probable, consideration should be given to avoiding complex multilevel interchanges in favor of simple designs with low skew, short span structures close to the original ground, and maximum use of embankment. Single span bridges which are designed to tolerate large movements are desirable.

Early recognition of seismic risk may lead the designer to modify alignment or grade in order to minimize high cuts, fills, and bridge structures in the area. Slopes should be made as flat as possible both for embankment stability and to reduce slide potential in cuts. Buttress fills can be constructed to improve cut stability. The DOS and the Office of Structural Foundations, should be consulted early when considering various alternatives to obtain recommendations for mitigating earthquake damage.

When subjected to an earthquake, fills may crack, slump, and settle. In areas of high water table, liquefaction may cause large settlement and shifting of the roadway. It is not economically feasible to entirely prevent this damage. One possible mitigation for existing soils would be to have the contract Special Provisions provide for removal of loose and compressible material from fill foundation areas, particularly in canyons, sidehill fills, and ravines and for foundation preparation on existing hillsides at the transition between cut and fill.

No modification is necessary in the design of the pavement structural sections for the purpose of reducing damage due to future earthquakes. Normally it is not possible to reduce this damage, since the structural section cannot be insulated from movements of the ground on which it rests. In active fault areas, consideration should be given to the use of flexible pipes or pipes with flexible couplings for both cross drains and roadway drainage.

Additional expenditure for right of way and construction to make highways and freeways more earthquake resistant in a known active fault area should be kept in balance with the amount of impact on the traveling public if the facility may be put out of service following a disastrous earthquake. Loss of a major interchange, however, may have a tremendous influence on traffic flow and because of the secondary life-safety and economic impacts some additional expenditure may be justified.

### 110.6 Traffic Control Plans

A detailed plan for moving traffic through or around a construction zone must be developed and included in the PS&E for all projects to assure that adequate consideration is given to the safety and convenience of motorists, pedestrians, and workers during construction. Design plans and specifications must be carefully analyzed in conjunction with Traffic, Construction, and Structure personnel (where applicable) to determine in detail the measures required to warn and guide motorists through the project during the various stages of work. Starting early in the design phase the project engineer should give continuing attention to this subject, including consideration of the availability of good access to the work site, in order that efficient rates of production can be maintained.

In addition to reducing the time the public is exposed to construction operations, the latter effort will help to hold costs down.

The traffic control plans should be consistent with Chapter 5 of the Traffic Manual, "Manual of Traffic Controls", and the philosophies and requirements contained in standard lane closure plans developed by the Headquarters Traffic Operations Program for use on State highways and should cover, as appropriate, such items as:

- Signing.
- Flagging.
- Geometrics of detours.
- Methods and devices for delineation and channelization.
- Application and removal of pavement markings.
- Placement and design of barriers and barricades.
- Separation of opposing traffic streams (See 23 CFR 630J).
- Maximum lengths of lane closures.
- Speed limits and enforcement.
- Use of COZEEP (Construction Zone Enhanced Enforcement Patrol).
- Use of pilot cars.
- Construction scheduling.
- Staging and sequencing.
- Length of project under construction at any one time.
- Methods of minimizing construction time without compromising safety.
- Hours of work.
- Storage of equipment and materials.
- Removal of construction debris.
- Treatment of pavement edges.
- Roadway lighting.
- Movement of construction equipment.
- Access for emergency vehicles.

- Clear roadside recovery area.
- Provision for disabled vehicles.
- Surveillance and inspection.
- Needed modifications of above items for inclement weather or darkness.
- Consideration for bicycle and pedestrian traffic.
- Consideration of complete facility closure during construction.
- Consideration of ingress/egress requirements for construction vehicles.
- Any other matters appropriate to the safety objective.

Normally, not all the above items will be pertinent to any one traffic control plan. Depending on the complexity of the project and the volume of traffic affected, the data to be included in the traffic control plan can vary from a simple graphic alignment of the various sequences to the inclusion of complete construction details in the plans and special provisions. In any event, the plans should clearly depict the exact sequence of operation, the construction to be performed, and the traveled way to be used by all movements of traffic during each construction phase. Sufficient alignment data, profiles, plan dimensions, and typical sections should be shown to ensure that the contractor and resident engineer will have no difficulty in providing traffic-handling facilities.

In some cases, where the project includes permanent lighting, it may be helpful to install the lights as an early order of work, so they can function during construction. In other cases, temporary installations of high-level area lighting may be justified.

Temporary roadways with alignment and surfacing consistent with the standards of the road which has just been traveled by the motorist should be provided if physically and economically possible.

Based on assessments of safety benefits, relative risks and cost-effectiveness, consideration should be given to the possibility of including a bid item for continuous traffic surveillance and control during particular periods, such as:

- (a) When construction operations are not in progress.
- (b) When lane closures longer than a specified length are delineated by cones or other such nonpermanent devices, whether or not construction operations are in progress.
- (c) Under other conditions where the risk and consequences of traffic control device failure are deemed sufficient.

Potentially hazardous working conditions must be recognized and full consideration given to the safety of workers as well as the general public during construction. This requirement includes the provision of adequate clearance between public traffic and work areas, work periods, and lane closures based on careful consideration of anticipated traffic volumes, and minimum exposure time of workers through simplified design and methods.

If a Transportation Management Plan (TMP) is included in the project, the traffic control plans (TCP) may need to be coordinated with the public information campaign and the transportation demand management elements. Any changes in TMP or TCP must be made in harmony for the plans to succeed. The "TMP Guidelines", available from HQ, Traffic Operational Systems Branch, should be reviewed for further guidance.

Traffic control plans along with other features of the design should be reviewed by the District Safety Review Committee prior to PS&E as discussed in Index 110.7.

The cost of implementing traffic control plans must be included in the project cost estimate, either as one or more separate pay items or as extra work to be paid by force account.

It is recognized that in many cases provisions for traffic control will be dependent on the way the contractor chooses to prosecute the project, and that the designer may have to make some assumptions as to the staging or sequence of the contractor's operations in order to develop definite temporary traffic control plans. However, safety of the public and the workers as well as public convenience demand that designers give careful consideration to the plans for handling traffic even though a different plan may be followed ultimately. It is simpler from a

contract administration standpoint to change a plan than to add one where none existed. The special provisions should specify that the contractor may develop alternate traffic control plans if they are as good or better than those provided in the contract PS&E.

See Section 2-30, Traffic, of the Construction Manual for additional factors to be considered in the preparation of traffic control plans.

### 110.7 Safety Reviews

Formal safety reviews during planning, design and construction have demonstrated that safety-oriented critiques of project plans help to ensure the application of safety standards. An independent team that was not absorbed in the design details of the project is generally able to conduct reviews from a fresh perspective. In many cases, this process leads to highly cost-effective modifications that enhance safety for motorists and highway workers without any material changes in the scope of the project.

(1) *Policy.* During the planning stage all projects shall be reviewed by the District Safety Review Committee prior to approval of the appropriate project initiation document (PSR, PSSR, NBSSR, etc.).

During design, each project with an estimated cost of \$300,000 or more must be reviewed by the District Safety Review Committee.

Any project, regardless of cost, requiring a Traffic Control Plan must be reviewed by the District Safety Review Committee. During construction, the detection of the need for safety-related changes is the responsibility of construction personnel, as outlined in the Construction Manual.

Safety concepts that are identified during these safety reviews which directly limit the exposure of employees to traffic shall be incorporated into the project unless deletion is approved by the District Director.

(2) *Procedure.* Each District must have a Safety Review Committee, composed of at least one engineer from the Construction, Design, Maintenance, and Traffic functions and should designate one of the members as chairperson. Committee members should familiarize themselves with current

standards and instructions on highway safety so that they can identify items in need of correction.

The Committee should conduct at least two design safety reviews of each major project. The Design Project Engineer has the basic responsibility to notify the committee chairperson when a review is needed. The chairperson should schedule a review and coordinate participation by appropriate committee members.

Reviews should include considerations of such items as:

- Exposure of employees to traffic.
- Traffic control plans.
- Transportation Management Plans.
- Traversability of roadsides.
- Elimination or other appropriate treatment of fixed objects.
- Susceptibility to wrong-way moves.
- Safety of construction and maintenance personnel.
- Sight distance.
- Nonmotorized traffic.
- Guardrail.
- Superelevation, etc.

The objective is to identify all elements where safety improvement may be practical and indicate desirable corrective measures. Reviews should be scheduled when the report or plans are far enough along for a review to be fruitful, but early enough to avoid unnecessary delay in the approval of the report or the completion of PS&E.

A simple report should be prepared on the recommendations made by the Safety Committee and the response by the Design Project Engineer. The reports should be included in the project files.

### 110.8 Value Analysis

The use of Value Analysis techniques should begin early in the project development process and be applied at various milestones throughout the PS&E stage to reduce life-cycle costs. See



the Project Development Procedures Manual for additional information.

### 110.9 Proprietary Items

Although the use of new materials, methods, or products may involve specifying a patented or brand name method, material, or product, use of such proprietary items is discouraged in the interest of promoting competitive bidding. If three or more products or materials are called out for one contract item, they are not considered proprietary.

The use of proprietary items always requires the approval of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Division Office if Federal-aid funds are involved in the project.

Use of proprietary materials can be approved for Caltrans by the Chief, Division of Structures for those facilities designed by the Division of Structures. Use in District designed facilities can be approved by the District Director or the District Division Chief of Design if such approval authority has been specifically delegated by the District Director. Copies of all correspondence documenting consideration and approvals of the use of proprietary items must be forwarded to OPPD to monitor conformance to this policy.

Caltrans policy and guidelines on the use of proprietary items are covered in the Office Engineer's Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) Guide under "Trade Names." This policy is based on Public Contract Code, Division 2, Chapter 3, Article 5, Paragraph 3400. It is also virtually coincident with FHWA policy requirements. The use of proprietary materials, methods, or products will not be approved unless:

- (a) There is no other known material of equal or better quality that will perform the same function, or
- (b) There are overwhelming reasons for using the material or product in the public's interest, which may or may not include cost savings, or
- (c) It is essential for synchronization with existing highway or adjoining facilities, or

- (d) Such use is on an experimental basis, with a clearly written plan for "follow-up and evaluation."

See Index 602.1(b) for further details.

## Topic 111 - Material Sites and Disposal Sites

### 111.1 General Policy

The policies and procedures concerning material sites and disposal sites are listed below.

- (a) Materials investigations and environmental studies of local materials sources should be made to the extent necessary to provide a basis for study and design. Location and capacity of available disposal sites should be determined for all projects requiring disposal of more than 7500 m<sup>3</sup> of clean material. Sites for disposal of any significant amount of material in sensitive areas should be considered only where there is no practical alternative.
- (b) Factual information obtained from such investigations should be made readily available to prospective bidders and contractors.
- (c) The responsibility for interpreting such information rests with the contractor and not with the State.
- (d) Generally, the designation of optional material sites or disposal sites will not be included in the special provisions. Mandatory sites must be designated in the special provisions. An optional disposal site within the highway right of way (not necessarily within the project limits) should be provided when needed as an alternative to an approved site for disposal of water bearing residues generated by grinding or grooving operations.
- (e) Material agreements or other arrangements should be made with owners of material sites whenever the absence of such arrangements would result in restriction of competition in bidding, or in other instances where it is in the

State's interest that such arrangements be made.

- (f) The general policy of Caltrans is to avoid specifying mandatory sources unless data in support of such sources shows certain and substantial savings to the State. Mandatory sources must not be specified on Federal-aid projects except under exceptional circumstances, and prior approval of the FHWA is required. Supporting data in such cases should be submitted as early as possible. This policy also applies to disposal sites.
- (g) It is the policy of Caltrans to cooperate with local authorities to the greatest practicable extent in complying with environmental requirements for all projects. Any corrective measures wanted by the local authorities should be provided through the permit process. Any unusual requirements, conditions, or situations should be submitted to the Office of Project Planning and Design for review (see Indexes 110.2 and 110.3).
- (h) The use of any materials site requires compliance with environmental laws and regulations, which is normally a part of the project environmental documentation. If the need for a site occurs after approval of the project environmental document, a separate determination of environmental requirements for the materials site may be required.
- (i) If the materials site is outside the project limits and exceeds 0.4 ha in size, or extraction will exceed 765 m<sup>3</sup>, it must comply with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975 (SMARA) and be included on the current "AB 3098 List" published by the Department of Conservation before material from that site can be used on a State project. There are limited exceptions to this requirement and the District Materials Engineer should be consulted.

necessary to assure that the design of each project is based on the most economical use of available materials compatible with good environmental design practices. Where it can be reasonably assumed that all required materials can be most economically obtained from commercial sources on the current "AB 3098 List", it should be unnecessary to investigate other sites. In all other cases material sites should be investigated. Exploration of materials sources should not be restricted to those properties where the owner expresses willingness to enter into agreement with the State. Unless it is definitely known that the owner will under no circumstances permit removal of materials, the site should be considered as a possible source of local materials.

- (2) *Geotechnical Design Report or Materials Report.* The Geotechnical Design Report or Materials Report should include complete information on all sites investigated and should discuss the quality, cost, SMARA status, and availability of materials from commercial plants on the current "AB 3098 List". Sufficient sampling of sites must be performed to indicate the character of the material and the elevation of the ground water surface, and to determine changes in the character of the material, both laterally and vertically. Sampling must be done in such a manner that individual samples can be taken from each horizon or layer. Composite samples of two or more different types of material are unsatisfactory, as there is no assurance that the materials would be so combined if the materials source were actually used. Testing of blends of two or more types of materials is permissible, provided the test report clearly indicates the combination tested. The test report must clearly indicate the location of the sample and the depth represented. The fact that materials sites are not designated in the Special Provisions does not reduce the importance of thorough exploration and testing.

As tabulations of test data for local materials will be furnished to prospective bidders, and the test reports may be examined by bidders if they so request, it is important that only factual data be shown on the test report and that no conclusions, opinions, or

## 111.2 Investigation of Local Materials Sources

- (1) *Extent of Explorations.* Possible sources of materials should be investigated to the extent

interpretation of the test data be included. Under "Remarks", give only the pertinent factual information regarding the scalping, crushing, blending, or other laboratory processing performed in preparing samples for testing, and omit any comments as to suitability for any purpose. Any discussion of the quality, suitability, or quantity of material in local materials sites necessary for design purposes should be included in the Geotechnical Design Report or Materials Report, and not noted on the test reports. For any potential materials source explored or tested, all boring and test data must be furnished, including those tests which indicate unsuitable or inferior material.

Materials information to be furnished bidders may include data on a materials source previously investigated for the same project or some other project provided all of the following conditions are met:

- (a) There has been no change in test procedures subsequent to the time the earlier tests were made.
- (b) The materials source has not been altered by stream action, weathering, or other natural processes.
- (c) The material sampled and represented by the tests has not been removed.
- (d) There has been no change in SMARA status, or inclusion or exclusion on the "AB 3098 List".

It will be necessary for each District to maintain a filing system such that all preliminary test reports for potential materials sites are readily accessible. This will necessitate preparation of test reports covering all preliminary tests of materials. It will also be essential to maintain some type of materials inventory system, whereby sites in the vicinity of any project can be readily identified and the test reports can be immediately accessible. Filing only by numerical or chronological order will not be permissible.

### 111.3 Materials Information Furnished to Prospective Bidders

- (1) *Materials Information Compilation.* It is the intent that all test data applicable to material

sites for a project be furnished to prospective bidders. To obtain uniformity in the "handouts" furnishing this information to prospective bidders, the District Materials Unit should develop the "handout" and the following information must be included:

- (a) A cover page entitled, "Materials Information", should show District, County, Route, kilometer post limits, and geographical limits. There should be a note stating where the records, from which the information was compiled, may be inspected. Also, an index, listing investigated material sites, and disposal sites, maps, test reports, tabulation sheets, SMARA status, and agreements is to be shown on the cover page.
- (b) A vicinity map showing the location of investigated materials sites and disposal sites in relation to the project.
- (c) A map of each material site showing the location and identification of boring or test pits.
- (d) A tabulation of the test data for each material site, showing complete information on the location, depth, and processing of each sample tested, together with all test results.
- (e) Copies of all options or agreements with owners of the material sites, if such arrangements have been made.
- (f) Soil survey sheets or suitable terrain maps showing borings and tests along the highway alignment.
- (g) A tabulation of which sites comply with environmental laws and regulations and are included on the current "AB 3098 List".
- (h) Material site grading and reclamation plan and disposal site grading plans, if they have been prepared.
- (i) Copies of local use permits and clearances (when they have been obtained by the State) such as environmental clearances, mining permits, Forest Service Fire Regulations, water quality control clearances, etc. If documents are of unusual length, a statement should be included that they have been obtained

and are available for inspection at the District office or Sacramento Plans Counter.

Maps, test reports, and other data included in the "Materials Information" must be factual, and should not include any comments, conclusions, or opinions as to the quality, quantity, suitability, depth, or area of the materials in any material site or along the highway.

Reproducible copies of all material to be included in the "Material Information" package should be submitted to the Office Engineer.

The Office Engineer will reproduce the "Materials Information," and copies will be available to prospective bidders upon request in the same manner that plans and special provisions are furnished.

#### 111.4 Materials Arrangements

Materials agreements or other arrangements must be made in accordance with the policy stated under Index 111.1(e).

The determination of when and where materials agreements or other arrangements are to be obtained is the responsibility of the District, see Section 8.25.00.00 of the Right of Way Manual.

The District should also determine the maximum royalty that can be paid economically on the basis of availability of competitive sources.

In preparing agreements, guaranteed quantity provisions should not be included, as the opportunity exists for possible token removal, with the result that the State would be required to pay for the guaranteed quantity even though the material would not actually be removed. Also, requirements that the State perform construction work on the owner's property, such as fences, gates, cattle guards, roads, etc., should be included only when the cost of such items and possible resulting benefits have been properly considered in the derivation of the royalty.

#### 111.5 Procedures for Acquisition of Material Sites and Disposal Sites

These instructions establish procedures to be followed in the purchase of material sites and disposal sites when such purchase is deemed

necessary by the District. The steps to be taken are listed in order as follows:

##### (1) General Procedure.

- (a) A District report proposing and establishing the necessity for purchase of the site is required. The report should contain the following information:

- The project or projects on which the site is to be used and programming of proposed construction.
- The location and description of the property, zoning, and site restoration/reclamation proposals including necessary vicinity and site maps.
- The amount and quality of material estimated to be available in the site and amount needed for the project or projects, or amount of excess material to be disposed of and the capacity of the site or sites.
- An economic analysis using the estimated purchase price and value of land after removal of material or deposit of excess material. The total estimated savings over other possible alternatives must be clearly demonstrated. Alternatives must be shown from the standpoint of what would have to be done if the site was not purchased. Alternatives could be changes in location or grade as well as alternative sources of material.
- A statement as to whether or not the use of the site should be mandatory, with a separate statement regarding the effect for each proposed project for which mandatory use of the site is considered necessary, including complete justification for the mandatory specification (see Index 111.6). Three copies of each map or other attachment, folded letter size, are required for mandatory sites on all Federal-aid projects.
- A statement of the type of environmental documentation.
- Other justification.

Send one copy to OPPD and one copy to the Engineering Service Center, Office of Materials Engineering and Testing Services for information.

- (b) If the project or projects are to have Federal aid, the District will prepare a request for FHWA approval to specify the source as mandatory. One copy of this request should be sent to the Office Engineer and one copy to OPPD.
  - (c) If the estimated purchase price is over \$300,000, the District should include the item in the STIP and corresponding budget.
  - (d) When the proposed purchase has been approved, the Project Engineer should notify the District Division of Right of Way, District Environmental Division and the District Materials Unit and request that Right of Way purchase the site (or obtain a Materials Agreement; the Materials Unit should assist in the development of the agreement) and the Environmental Division obtain environmental authorization to proceed.
  - (e) The District must include the cost of purchase in the proper fiscal year program and/or budget as part of the District targets.
  - (f) After budgeting, the District must submit an expenditure authorization to cover purchase of the site. This could be concurrent if the project is added to the budget during a fiscal year. The expenditure authorization request should be processed through the District Project Management and Administration Units and obtain District Director approval.
  - (g) After issuance of an expenditure authorization, the District Division of Right of Way will complete purchase of the site.
- (2) *Material and Disposal Sites in Federal Lands.* The applicable sections of the Federal Highway Act of 1958 for procurement of borrow or disposal sites, Sections 107(d) and 317, are set forth in Section 8.18.02.00 of the Right of Way Manual; Section 107(d) applies to the Interstate System while Section 317 applies to other Federal-aid highways. Whenever Federal

public lands are required for a material or a disposal site, and after preliminary negotiations at the local level with the Federal agency having jurisdiction, the District must submit a letter report to the FHWA. This report should observe the requirements of Index 111.5 of this manual and Section 8.18.02.03 of the Right of Way Manual.

Following submittal of the proposal by the District to the FHWA, the latter, acting on behalf of the State transmits the proposal with a favorable recommendation to the Federal agency having control of the site. See Section 8.18.02.03 of the Right of Way Manual.

### **111.6 Mandatory Material Sites and Disposal Sites on Federal-aid Projects**

The contract provisions must not specify a mandatory site for the disposal of surplus excavated materials unless a particular site is needed for environmental reasons or the site is found to be the most economical for one or more Federal-aid projects. All points listed in Index 111.5(1)(a) must be covered and one copy of all attachments submitted. Supporting data must be submitted to the FHWA during the project planning phase or early in the project design phase as almost all cases of mandatory sites must go to the Regional office of the FHWA for decision.

Section 635.407 of 23 CFR 635D states in part:

"The designation of a mandatory material source may be permitted based on environmental considerations, provided the environment would be substantially enhanced without excessive cost."

"The contract provisions ... shall not specify mandatory a site for the disposal of surplus excavated materials unless there is a finding by the State highway agency with the concurrence of the FHWA Division Administrator that such placement is the most economical except that the designation of a mandatory site may be permitted based on environmental considerations, provided the environment would be substantially enhanced without excessive cost."

## **Topic 112 - Contractor's Yard and Plant Sites**

### **112.1 Policy**

The Project Engineer should, during the design phase of a project, consider the need and availability of sites for contractors' yards and materials plants. This is particularly important in areas where dust, noise, and access problems could limit the contractor in obtaining sites on their own in a timely manner. Asphalt concrete recycling projects pose special problems of material storage, access, and plant location, see Index 611.11(3). As a general rule, the use of all sites designated in the Special Provisions should be optional on the part of the contractor with a requirement of notice being given to the Resident Engineer within a designated time period after approval of the contract. (30 days would be a minimum, but not more than 60 days except in unusual situations.) All environmental requirements must be satisfied and local permits must be obtained prior to submittal of the PS&E. Right of Way, Permits, and Environmental units must be informed early in the process. The contractor will be allowed to use these sites only for work on the designated project(s).

### **112.2 Locating a Site**

The Project Engineer should consult with District Division of Right of Way concerning appropriately sized parcels currently being held in the airspace inventory, nearby property held by Caltrans for future construction, or as excess land. If such space is available in the vicinity of the project, the District Environmental Division should be consulted to determine what environmental requirements are necessary for the use of these properties for the intended purpose. If sufficient space does not appear to be available for yard or plant, the Project Engineer must see that the appropriate wording is placed in the contract Special Provisions.

## **Topic 113 - Geotechnical Design Report**

### **113.1 Policy**

The Project Engineer must review the project initiation document and Preliminary Geotechnical Design Report, if any, to ascertain the scope of geotechnical involvement for a project. A Geotechnical Design Report (GDR) is to be prepared by the Roadway Geotechnical Engineering Branches of the Engineering Service Center (ESC) Office of Structural Foundations (or under a consultant contract with technical oversight by OSF) for all projects that involve designs for cut slopes, embankments, earthwork, landslide remediation, retaining walls, groundwater studies, erosion control features, subexcavation and any other studies involving geotechnical investigations and engineering geology. A GDR is not required for projects that solely include those design features described in Index 114.1.

### **113.2 Content**

The GDR is to conform to the "Guidelines for Geotechnical Reports" which is prepared by the Office of Structural Foundations.

### **113.3 Submittal and Review**

Final copies of the GDR are to be submitted to the Project Engineer, District Materials Unit and OPPD. For consultant developed reports, the GDR is to be submitted to OSF for review and approval. OSF will then transmit the approved GDR to the Project Engineer, District Materials Unit and OPPD. Also see Index 602.1.

## **Topic 114 - Materials Report**

### **114.1 Policy**

A Materials Report must be prepared by the District Materials Branch (or under a consultant contract with technical oversight by the District Materials Branch) with assistance from the ESC Office of Materials Engineering and Testing Services for all projects that involve pavement structural section recommendations or pavement studies, culverts or other drainage materials, corrosion studies, and materials or disposal sites.

**114.2 Content**

The Materials Report is to conform to the guidelines for pavement structural section studies and guidelines for corrosion studies as published by METS. Exceptions may be approved by METS.

**114.3 Submittal and Review**

A copy of the Draft Materials Report is to be submitted to METS for review and comment by the District Materials Unit. After resolution of the comments from METS, a final copy of the Materials Report is to be submitted to the District Materials Unit, the Project Engineer, OPPD and to METS. Also see Index 602.1.